Councillor Call for Action Task and Finish Group – project plan and meeting record 3 March 2008. v5: March - May - BROUGHT FORWARD

Councillor Call for Action Task and Finish Group	Meeting 5, 5 March 2008	Meeting 6, 2 April 2008	Meeting 7	Meeting 8		
Purpose/Objectives of the Review	 To identify what 'councillor call for action' will mean to Northampton Borough Council Identify the approach that Northampton Borough Council should take to implement the CCfA Identify how to communicate the process of using CCfA to members, officers and the public. 					
Exclusions and Constraints	The group acknowledge the wider issues around the LGPIH Act and agreed that the focus of this group will be only on the CCfA strand. O&S Management Committee is asked to look at the rest of the implications of the Act.					
Outcomes Required	 An understandable clear policy for how the council will deal with the issue That Northampton Borough Council's approach to the CCfA is an effective means of community engagement That Northampton Borough Council meets its statutory requirements 					
 Information Required Charts ✓ Budgets × Services ✓ Evidence from users/service employees ✓ Information from partners ✓ Relevant BVPIs × Best Practice Councils ✓ Experts ✓ 	Equality Impact Assessment Guidance and Advice – This is trialled with this Group in response to OSMC decision. Agenda cleared down accordingly	To work out indicative timescales to run the CCfA process. Proposing a timescale for when OSMC meets in response to potential CCfA. Suggestion of 28 day turnaround Re- draft process diagram to include Cllr comments, timescales and elements on what committees do from Kirklees Work through Issues Log and resolve outstanding Prepare draft final report				
 Format of Information Officer briefings ✓ Officer reports ✓ Portfolio holder reports × Councillor reports ✓ Published reviews by other organisations ✓ 	Presentation, documents and verbal outline of requirements	Officer reports				

 Case studies ✓ Expert advice ✓ Surveys ✓ Witnesses verbal/ written evidence ✓ Commissioned research Presentations ✓ Local/regional/national data ✓ Methods used to Gather Information Interviews in committee/community ✓ Focus Groups/User Groups in the Community × Public Meetings × Working Groups × Structured Visits to Other Organisations ✓ 	To understand what information is needed as part of the EIA Decide how to take forward	Consider requirements of EIA process on gathering community needs requirements		
 Advertisements × Media ✓ Questionnaires ✓ 				
Co-Options to the Review Committee	-	-		
Evidence gathering timetable	-	Set timetable for final report – to be completed by early May 2008	Consider items for draft report	Consider items for draft report
Responsible Officers	 Members identified; Nicci Marzec, Corporate Manager as the senior lead. Thomas Hall to attend when available Overview and Scrutiny Officer 	Nicci Marzec to attend meetings where appropriate	NM and TH receive agenda	NM and TH receive agenda
Resources and Budgets	If a trial is to take place then resources and budget implications must be taken into account.	If a trial is to take place then resources and budget implications must be taken into account.	If a trial is to take place then resources and budget implications must be taken into account.	If a trial is to take place then resources and budget implications must be taken into account.
Final Report presented by	Chair, Cllr Andrew Simpson			-
Final report submitted to	Overview and Scrutiny 1, 13 March 2008.	Final report to O&S 1, May 15 2008	Final report to O&S 1, May 15 2008	Agreed – Final report to O&S 1, May 15 2008
	Amended – Final report to O&S 1, May 15 2008	Consider items for draft report	Consider items for draft report	

Monitoring procedure	To be agreed in final report		Develop monitoring
			procedure

Last update, 3 March 2008

Issues Log

21/12/2007 The Group decided not to undertake the member survey. It was felt that the survey would not be required for the final report. A copy of the proposed questions will be kept for the future. Additionally; \checkmark

- \circ NBC draft process should be a slimmed down version of Kirklees model \checkmark
- O&S Management Committee would only be allowed to reject on grounds of process ✓
- O&S Management Committee allocate issue to relevant O&S Committee according to Terms of Reference ✓
- Creation of local performance indicator to measure success of CCfA
- o Partners need to be engaged with the process and protocols agreed
- Requires a robust complaints process to deal with non-CCfA issues
- There will be budgetary implications for the launch communication to councillors, partners, public
- Training for councillors and officers required
- Will government make funding available for launch

7/1/2008 Local Petitions and Calls for Action Consultation document published – placed on agenda for 15th January.

15/1/2008 It was noted that there would be no appeals process \checkmark

14/2/2008 Chief Executive will be preparing Councils response to CCfA and Petitions consultation ✓

14-27/2/2008 Issue of moving away from scope to trial/do the CCfA process - will have resource/time implications

18/2/2008 – Issues logged around Government money to do CCfA with regard to joining together contact centres etc – example of 101 non emergency number at Leicester

27/2/2008 Management Committee agree that all Task and Finish Groups/Reports are subject to Equality Impact Assessment – meeting 5 set aside to understand/work through ✓

4/3/2007 – Issue raised of whether to move from existing scope to 'do' the project. Chair position is to report to Committee & Cabinet as normal and let Cabinet decide the resource implications of the next steps.